

Application of the Carbon Price to

Transactions at the NYISO Borders

Nathaniel Gilbraith NYISO

IPPTF October 15, 2018, KCC, Rensselaer, NY

> NEW YORK INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR

RAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

©COPYRIGHT NYISO 2018. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Agenda

- Presentation objective
- NYISO proposed border transaction charges and credits
- Discussion of proposed implementation
- Questions and feedback

Select relevant prior IPPTF materials and presentations

- All materials available on the NYISO website
 - <u>http://www.nyiso.com/public/committees/documents.jsp?com=bic_miwg_ipptf</u>
- April 9, 2018 IPPTF
 - Applying Carbon Charge Border Adjustments to NYISO External Transactions. The Brattle Group.
 - Carbon Pricing in the NYISO Wholesale Energy Market: Addressing Leakage. London Economics.
- April 16, 2018 IPPTF
 - The Mechanics of Integrating a Carbon Charge into NYISO Energy Market Operations. NYISO.
- April 30, 2018 IPPTF
 - Carbon Pricing Straw Proposal. NYISO.
- May 14, 2018 IPPTF
 - Carbon Pricing Straw Proposal Overview. NYISO.
- July 9, 2018 IPPTF
 - Application of the Carbon Price to External Transactions. NYISO.
- August 6, 2018 IPPTF
 - Carbon Pricing Draft Recommendations. NYISO.
- August 27, 2018 IPPTF
 - Import Carbon Pricing. LIPA.
- September 24, 2018
 - Revenue Allocation and Seams Options. The Brattle Group.





Presentation objective

 Summarize the NYISO's proposed application of the carbon price to border transactions



Background

- Adopting a carbon charge in New York without considering the pricing effects at the NYCA borders would likely cause large shifts in import and export dynamics
 - Internal suppliers would become less competitive as a result of paying the NY carbon charge
 - External suppliers would become relatively more competitive as a result of not paying the NY carbon charge
 - Internal generation would likely decrease
 - Total carbon emissions may increase or decrease, depending on the relative efficiency of NYCA versus external marginal generation



NYISO Draft Recommendation

- Apply carbon charges to external transactions such that they compete with internal resources (and each other) as if the NYISO was not applying a carbon charge to internal suppliers (i.e., on a status quo basis)
 - Imports would be paid the LBMP and pay carbon impact (LBMPc), at the relevant border
 - Bilateral transactions would simply pay the carbon impact
 - Conceptually, this is similar to an internal generator
 - Exports would pay the LBMP and be paid the carbon impact
 - Bilateral transactions would simply be paid the carbon impact
 - Wheel-through transactions pay the carbon impact at the import interface and be paid the carbon impact at the export interface
 - Carbon charges only apply to transactions that flow in real-time
 - This recommendation is consistent with the NYISO Carbon Pricing Draft Recommendations
- To determine charges and credits, the NYISO proposes to use the carbon impact on LBMP based on the real-time system dispatch, as opposed to forecasting the carbon impact on LBMP

Discussion on how the NYISO could implement the IPPTF Draft Recommendation



Import and export scheduling and settlements

┶┷┵

- Import and export schedules will be determined as they are determined today, via the system optimization software, based on import and export bids
- Traders may incorporate expected payments/charges into their bids
 - Traders that do not do so face the prospect of receiving an undesired schedule
 - See the examples at the end of the presentation for additional information
- The NYISO will create a new billing code for carbon charge settlements (i.e., the carbon charge will be a separate line item on bills and invoices)
 - For example, an import will see both a payment equal to the full LBMP and a charge equal to the carbon impact on LBMP on its bill
 - Carbon charges/credits will only occur when energy flows
 - For example, a day-ahead (DA) schedule that flows in real-time (RT) will result in a charge/credit. A DA schedule that is bought out in RT (no flow) will not incur a carbon charge/credit.
 - Border LBMPs will not reflect the carbon charge/credit (i.e., not show the import/export net settlement associated with a transaction)



Proposed method to determine the carbon impact on LBMP assigned to border transactions

- The NYISO proposes to charge imports and credit exports a carbon impact on LBMP based on real-time system dispatch
 - This will be consistent with the carbon impact on LBMP used to allocate residuals to loads
 - See the appendix for additional details
- External resources will not be eligible to set the carbon impact value (LBMPc)
- The NYISO is targeting the October 22, 2018 or October 29, 2018 IPPTF meeting to discuss the calculation of the carbon impact on LBMP



Credit implications

- The NYISO's existing Credit provisions can accommodate these carbon charge border transaction rules
- Certain calculations and processes will need to incorporate carbon charges/credits
 - E.g., external transaction net profit and loss calculations



Carbon impact on LBMP (LBMPc)

- The LBMPc will be based on the change in the marginal unit's energy offer due to the carbon pricing market design, as determined by the NYISO
- The NYISO is targeting the October 22, 2018 or October 29, 2018 IPPTF meeting to discuss carbon impact on LBMPc calculation and transparency (i.e., data postings) with stakeholders







DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY © COPYRIGHT NYISO 2018. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Example 1 – Import Scheduled in Day-Ahead Market and Flows in Real-Time

- Today's market
 - Importer submits a \$45 bid
 - DA LBMP is \$46, import receives a schedule
 - Importer is compensated NY DA LBMP

- Market with carbon charge (importer assumed RTD carbon impact \$15)
 - Importer submits a \$60 bid (i.e., \$45 energy plus \$15 carbon charge)
 - DA LBMP is \$61, importer receives a schedule
 - Importer is compensated NY DA LBMP
 - The transaction flows in real time and the importer is charged RTD carbon impact (\$15)

In both cases, the importer's net incentive to import is \$1, or the 'status quo' price spread



Example 2 – Import Bid in Day-Ahead Market

- Today's market
 - Importer submits a \$45 bid
 - DA LBMP is \$44, importer does not receive a schedule or a DA settlement
- Market with carbon charge (importer assumed RTD carbon impact \$15)
 - Importer submits a \$60 bid (i.e., \$45 energy plus \$15 carbon charge)
 - DA LBMP is \$59, importer does not receive a schedule or a DA settlement
 - Importer is not charged a carbon charge

In both cases, the importer's net incentive to import is \$4, or the 'status quo' price spread



Example 3 – Import Bid in Day-Ahead Market

- Today's market
 - Importer submits a \$45 bid
 - DA LBMP is \$44, importer does not receive a schedule or a settlement
- Market with carbon charge (importer assumed RTD carbon impact \$15)
 - Importer submits a \$45 bid (i.e., does not reflect the \$15 carbon charge in the bid)
 - DA LBMP is \$59, importer receives a schedule
 - Importer is paid the DA LBMP
 - Importer is charged RTD carbon charge (\$15)

In both cases, the importer's net incentive to import is -\$1, or the 'status quo' price spread. However, by not accounting for the carbon charge, the importer receives a schedule and its net compensation (\$44) is \$1 less than its original bid (\$45).

Example 4 – Import Scheduled in Day-Ahead Market and Does Not Flow in Real-Time

• Today's market

- Importer submits a \$45 bid
- DA LBMP is \$46, importer receives a schedule
- Importer is paid the DA LBMP
- Importer does not flow in RT (e.g., buys out of DA position)
- Importer in the balancing settlement is charged the RTD LBMP

- Market with carbon charge (importer assumed RTD carbon impact \$15)
 - Importer submits a \$60 bid (i.e., \$45 energy plus \$15 carbon charge)
 - DA LBMP is \$61, importer receives a schedule
 - Importer is compensated NY DA LBMP
 - Importer does not flow in RT (e.g., buys out of DA position in the balancing settlement)
 - Importer is charged the NY RTD LBMP and does not have a carbon charge

No energy flows, thus no carbon charge/credit occurs



Example 5– Import Scheduled in Real-Time (RTC) and Flows in Real-Time

- Today's market
 - Importer submits a \$5 spread bid
 - RTC spread is \$6, importer receives a schedule
 - Importer is paid the RTD LBMP

- Market with carbon charge (importer assumed RTD carbon impact \$15)
 - Importer submits a \$20 spread bid (i.e., \$5 energy spread plus \$15 carbon charge)
 - RTC spread is \$21, importer receives a schedule
 - Importer is paid the RTD LBMP
 - Importer is charged RTD carbon impact (\$15)

In both cases, the importer's net incentive to import is \$6, or the 'status quo' price spread



Example 6 – Export Scheduled in Day-Ahead Market and Flows in Real-Time

- Today's market
 - Exporter submits a \$45 energy bid (based on price available in neighboring region)
 - NY DA LBMP is \$44, exporter receives a schedule
 - Exporter is charged the DA LBMP

- Market with carbon charge (exporter assumed RTD carbon impact \$15)
 - Exporter submits a \$60 bid (i.e., \$45 energy plus \$15 carbon credit)
 - NY DA LBMP is \$59, exporter receives a schedule
 - Exporter is charged the DA LBMP
 - Exporter is credited a carbon impact (\$15), for a net charge of \$59 \$15 = \$44

In both cases, the exporter's net incentive to import is \$1, or the 'status quo' price spread



Feedback?

Questions and/or comments can be sent to <u>IPP_feedback@nyiso.com</u>



The Mission of the New York Independent System Operator, in collaboration with its stakeholders, is to serve the public interest and provide benefits to consumers by:

- Maintaining and enhancing regional reliability
- Operating open, fair and competitive wholesale electricity markets
- Planning the power system for the future
- Providing factual information to policy makers, stakeholders and investors in the power system





Appendix



DRAFT – FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY © COPYRIGHT NYISO 2018. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Implementation options considered for determining border transactions' carbon impact on LBMP

- Forecasted carbon impact on LBMP
 - Importers and exporters know the binding carbon impact prior to the bid window closing
 - Requires the NYISO to produce a binding forecast of the carbon impact (inherently uncertain)
 - Minimizes traders' risk of carbon impact uncertainty (e.g., forecast errors)
 - Loads bear risk of carbon impact uncertainty (e.g., forecast errors)
 - Discussed at 7/9/18 IPPTF

- Real-time system dispatch determined carbon impact on LBMP
 - Importers and exporters face the actual carbon impact on LBMP as determined by system dispatch
 - Does not require the NYISO to produce a binding forecast of the carbon impact
 - Traders bear risk of carbon impact uncertainty
 - Minimizes loads' risk of carbon impact uncertainty

